

TOPOLOGY ON THE SPACES OF ORDERINGS OF GROUPS

ADAM S. SIKORA

ABSTRACT. A natural topology on the space of left orderings of an arbitrary semi-group is introduced. It is proved that this space is compact and that for free abelian groups it is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. An application of this result is a new proof of the existence of universal Gröbner bases.

1. ORDERINGS FOR SEMI-GROUPS

Given a semi-group G (ie. a set with an associative binary operation), a linear order, $<$, on G is a left order if $a < b$ implies $ca < cb$, for any c . Similarly, a linear order, $<$, is a right order if $a < b$ implies $ac < bc$, for any $c \in G$. The sets of all left and right orderings of G are denoted by $LO(G)$ and $RO(G)$ respectively. If G is a group then there is a 1-1 correspondence between these two sets which associates with any left ordering, $<_l$, a right ordering, $<_r$, such that $a <_r b$ if and only if $b^{-1} <_l a^{-1}$. For more about ordering of groups see [4, 7, 8].

Let $U_{a,b} \subset LO(G)$ denote the set of all left orderings, $<$, for which $a < b$. We can put a topology on $LO(G)$ in one of the following two ways.

Definition 1.1. $LO(G)$ has the smallest topology for which all the sets $U_{a,b}$ are open. Any open set in this topology is a union of sets of the form $U_{a_1,b_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n,b_n}$.

Definition 1.2. Let $G_0 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \dots \subset G$ be an arbitrary complete filtration of G by its subsets. (A filtration is complete if $\bigcup_i G_i = G$). For $<_1, <_2 \in LO(G)$ we define $\rho(<_1, <_2)$ to be $\frac{1}{2^r}$, where r is the largest number with the property that $<_1$ and $<_2$ coincide when restricted to G_r ; We put $\rho(<_1, <_2) = 0$ if such r does not exist ($r = \infty$).

From now on we will consider countable semi-groups G only and such filtrations only which are composed of finite subsets of G .

Proposition 1.3. ρ is a metric on $LO(G)$ and the topology on $LO(G)$ induced by that metric coincides with the topology introduced in Definition 1.1. In particular, it does not depend on the choice of a filtration of G .

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 06F15, 13P10, Secondary: 06F05, 20F60.

Proof. It is easy to check that ρ is a metric. Hence, the proposition follows from the following two statements:

(1) any open ball $B(<_0, 1/2^r)$ (with respect to the metric ρ) is open in the topology introduced in Definition 1.1;

Proof: $<_1 \in B(<_0, 1/2^r)$ if and only if $<_1$ and $<_0$ coincide on the set G_{r+1} . Therefore

$$B(<_0, 1/2^r) = \bigcap U_{ab},$$

where the intersection is taken over all possible pairs (a, b) of elements of G_{r+1} for which $a <_0 b$.

(2) any set of the form $U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$ is open with respect to the metric ρ . In other words, for any $<_0 \in U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$ there exist r such that $B(<_0, 1/2^r) \subset U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$.

Proof: There exists an element of the filtration, G_r , such that $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n \in G_r$. For such r , $B(<_0, 1/2^r) \subset U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$. $\square$

Recall that a space is totally disconnected if every two distinct points of it are contained in two disjoint open sets covering the space.

Theorem 1.4. *$LO(G)$ is a compact, totally disconnected topological space.*

Proof: For any two left orderings $<_1, <_2 \in LO(G)$ there exist $a, b \in G$ such that $<_1 \in U_{ab}$ and $<_2 \in U_{ba}$. Since $U_{ab} \cup U_{ba} = LO(G)$, $U_{ab} \cap U_{ba} = \emptyset$, $LO(G)$ is totally disconnected. Now we are going to show that $LO(G)$ is compact. Consider any complete, infinite filtration of G by its finite subsets and the associated metric ρ . We need to prove that any infinite sequence $<_1, <_2, \dots \in LO(G)$ has a convergent subsequence. We construct this subsequence in the following manner: Since there are only finitely many possible linear orderings of elements of G_1 there is an infinite subsequence $<_{i_1^1}, <_{i_2^1}, <_{i_3^1} \dots$ of $<_1, <_2, \dots$ whose elements induce the same linear order on G_1 . Now, pick out of this sequence an infinite subsequence of orders, $<_{i_1^2}, <_{i_2^2}, \dots$, which agree on G_2 . Continue this process for $G_3, G_4, \dots$ *ad infinitum*. Consider now a sequence $<^1, <^2, <^3, \dots$ constructed by picking up the n -th element from the n -th subsequence constructed above for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $<^1, <^2, <^3, \dots$ is a subsequence of $<_1, <_2, \dots$ the following lemma completes the proof.

Lemma 1.5. *$<^1, <^2, <^3, \dots$ converges to a left order $<^\infty$, defined as follows: $a <^\infty b$ if and only if $a <^n b$ for almost all n .*

Proof. If $a, b \in G_r$ then either $a <^i b$ for $i > r$ or $b <^i a$ for $i > r$. Therefore, $<^\infty$ is a total order and, it is easy to verify that it is also a left order on G . Since $\rho(<^n, <^\infty) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$, the sequence $<^1, <^2, <^3, \dots$ converges to $<^\infty$. $\square$

Corollary 1.6. *$LO(G)$ is homeomorphic to the Cantor set if and only if*

- (1) $LO(G) \neq \emptyset$, and
- (2) for any sequence $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n$, of elements of G , the set $U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$ is either empty or infinite.

Proof. Any nonempty, metrizable, compact, perfect and totally disconnected set is a Cantor set ([6, Corollary 2-98]). (A set is perfect if every point of the set is a limit point.) Condition (2) states that $LO(G)$ is perfect. $\square$

Orderings on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ were classified in [14, 10].

Proposition 1.7. *For $n > 1$, $LO(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is homeomorphic to the Cantor set.*

Proof. Consider the smallest $n > 1$ for which the statement fails. By Corollary 1.6, there exists a finite set of pairs $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_s, b_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that the number of orderings $<$ on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $a_i < b_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, s$, is positive and finite. By adding some additional pairs, if necessary, we can assume that there is only one such ordering, $<$. Furthermore, we can assume that $b_j - a_j$ is not a rational multiple of $b_i - a_i$, for any $i \neq j$. We extend $<$ to an ordering on $\mathbb{Q}^n$ by demanding that $v_1 < v_2$ for $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ if and only if $nv_1 < nv_2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $nv_1, nv_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Consider the set $H \subset \mathbb{Q}^n \otimes \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^n$ composed of elements x such that any neighborhood of x in $\mathbb{Q}^n$ (with respect to the Euclidean topology in $\mathbb{R}^n$) contains both positive and negative elements. ($v \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ is positive if $0 < v$). One can prove that H is a hyper-plane in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and that the two connected components of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H$, denoted by H_+ and H_- , have the property that all elements of $\mathbb{Q}^n$ in H_+ are positive and all elements of $\mathbb{Q}^n$ in H_- are negative. Therefore the vectors $b_i - a_i$ lie either in H_+ or in H_- . Denote by I the set of i 's such that $b_i - a_i \in H_-$. Observe that $<$ is the only order on $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ for which $a_i < b_i$ for $i \in I$. (Any other order on $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying this condition would extended to an order $<'$ on $\mathbb{Q}^n$ for which $a_i <' b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. This would contradict the uniqueness of $<$). Since $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ does not satisfy condition (2) of Corollary 1.6, the initial assumption about n implies that $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \emptyset$ or $\mathbb{Z}$. If $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \emptyset$ then $I = \emptyset$ and there exist infinitely many hyper-planes $H' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, obtained by small perturbations of H , giving rise to infinitely many orderings $<'$ of $\mathbb{Q}^n$ such that $a_i <' b_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Therefore, $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}$. Since we assumed that none of the vectors $b_i - a_i$ is a rational multiple of another, we see that $b_i - a_i$ lies in H for exactly one index $i = i_0$. Now, by small perturbations of H in $\mathbb{R}^n$ we can obtain infinitely many new hyper-planes H' such that $b_{i_0} - a_{i_0}$ lies in the same component of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H'$ as all other vectors $b_i - a_i$. Each of these hyper-planes induces an ordering $<'$ on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $a_i <' b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ – a contradiction. $\square$

2. BI-ORDERINGS

A bi-ordering is a linear ordering which is both left and right ordering. Using Proposition 1.3, we see that the set of bi-orderings $BiO(G)$ inherits the same topology from $LO(G)$ and $RO(G)$.

Proposition 2.1. *$BiO(G)$ is a closed subset of $LO(G)$. Hence $BiO(G)$ is the Cantor set if and only if $BiO(G) \neq \emptyset$ and for any sequence $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n$, of elements of G , the set $BiO(G) \cap U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$ is either empty or infinite.*

Proof. Consider a metric on $LO(G)$ induced by a filtration of G . For any infinite sequence, $<_1, <_2, \dots$, of bi-orders of G converging to a left order, $<_\infty$, we have $a <_\infty b$ if and only if $a <_i b$ for almost all i . Hence $a <_\infty b$ implies $ac <_\infty bc$ for any c . This proves that $BiO(G)$ is a closed subset of $LO(G)$. The second part of the statement is proved exactly as Corollary 1.6. $\square$

One should not expect, however, that the set of bi-orderings of a group, if infinite, is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. There are known examples of groups G for which $BiO(G)$ has countably infinite number of elements, [8].

Conjecture 2.2. *For the free group on $n > 1$ generators, F_n , the spaces $LO(F_n)$ and $BiO(F_n)$ are homeomorphic to the Cantor set.*

Let $G = G^0 \supset G^1 \supset \dots$ be the lower central series of a group G such that $\bigcap_{k=0}^\infty G^k = 1$. Šimbireva and Neumann (see [13, 9] and [4, Ch. IV §2]) showed that any choice of orders on the groups G^k/G^{k+1} yields a total bi-order on G : if $g \in G^k \setminus G^{k+1}$ then g is positive in G if and only if g is positive in G^k/G^{k+1} . Since any torsion-free abelian group is orderable, [14], if the groups G^k/G^{k+1} are torsion-free then G is bi-orderable¹. We will call such orders standard and denote their set by $SBiO(G)$. They are characterized by the following condition satisfied by all $g \in G$: if $g \in G^k \setminus G^{k+1}$ and g is positive then all elements of gG^{k+1} are positive.

Proposition 2.3. (1) $SBiO(G)$ is a closed subset of $BiO(G)$.
 (2) If $G \neq \mathbb{Z}$ and each factor G^k/G^{k+1} is finitely generated then $SBiO(G)$ is either empty or homeomorphic to the Cantor set.

It is possible that the results of [14] make possible to relax the assumption about the finite number of generators of each of the factors G^k/G^{k+1} .

Proof: (1) If an order $\leq$ is not standard then, by the above characterization of standard orders, there exists $g \in G^k \setminus G^{k+1}$ and $h \in G^{k+1}$ such that $g > e$ and $gh < e$. Therefore $\leq$ has an open neighborhood composed of non-standard orders.

(2) Assume that $G \neq \mathbb{Z}$. We may also assume that all G^k/G^{k+1} are torsion-free and, hence, free abelian groups, since otherwise $SBiO(G) = \emptyset$. As before, it suffices to prove that for any $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n \in G$ the set

$$SBiO(G) \cap U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$$

is either empty or infinite. Assume that this set is not empty. If $G^k/G^{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}^n$ for $n \geq 2$ for some k then one can obtain infinitely many standard orders in $U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$ by slightly modifying the order on G^k/G^{k+1} . (We use here the classification of orders of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ given in the proof of Proposition 1.7). Therefore from now on it is enough to assume that G^k/G^{k+1} is either $\mathbb{Z}$ or trivial for all k .

¹In fact the result of Šimbireva and Neumann applies to all groups with a central series, including the transfinite ones, ending with the trivial group.

We claim that in this situation $G^k/G^{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}$ for all k . Indeed if G^k/G^{k+1} is trivial for some k then $G^l = G^k$ for all $l \geq k$, and since $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} G^i = 1$, G is nilpotent. However, the only nilpotent group G with $G/G^1 = \mathbb{Z}$ is $G = \mathbb{Z}$. (This statement follows from [5, Cor. 10.3.3] where $H = \langle h \rangle$ and hG^1 is a generator of $G/G^1 = \mathbb{Z}$).

Therefore, we may assume that $G^k/G^{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}$ for all k . Since each $G^k/G^{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}$ has exactly two orderings it is not hard to see that $S\text{Bi}O(G) = \{0, 1\}^{\aleph_0}$ as a topological space. $\square$

An example of a non-standard order can be constructed as follows: Vinogradov proved that if A, B are bi-ordered groups then $A * B$ has also a bi-order, [15, 8]. The construction of such bi-order given in the proof of Theorem 2.3.1 in [8] has the property that if $a_0, a_1 \in A$, $b \in B$, $a_0 > e$, $a_1 < e$, $b > e$ then $a_0 b a_1 b^{-1} a_1^{-1} < e$. Therefore that order is non-standard.

3. EXAMPLE: $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

Let $\mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}$ denote the real line with the topology with a basis of open sets composed of intervals $[a, b)$. Analogously, let $\mathbb{R}_{\text{Q}}$ be the real line with the topology whose basis is composed of intervals $(a, b]$. The above topologies carry onto the unit circle in $\mathbb{R}^2$ via the map $z \rightarrow e^{2\pi iz}$, $\mathbb{R}_{\text{D}}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S_{\text{D}}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbb{R}_{\text{Q}}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S_{\text{Q}}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. We say that a point $(x, y) \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is rational if $x/y \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Let X be the union of these two circles with corresponding irrational points identified, $X = (S_{\text{D}}^1 \sqcup S_{\text{Q}}^1) / \sim$, where $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_2, y_2)$ if $(x_1, y_1) \in S_{\text{D}}^1$, $(x_2, y_2) \in S_{\text{Q}}^1$, and $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2)$ is irrational.

Proposition 3.1. *$\text{Bi}O(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is homeomorphic to X .*

Proof. First, we construct a map $S_{\text{D}}^1 \sqcup S_{\text{Q}}^1 \rightarrow \text{Bi}O(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ as follows: Associate with $x \in S_{\text{D}}^1$ an order $<_x$ on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is positive if and only if the (oriented) angle between the vectors y and x in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is in the interval $(0, \pi]$. Similarly, we associate with $x \in S_{\text{Q}}^1$ an order $<^x$ on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is positive if and only if the angle between y and x is in the interval $[0, \pi)$. Note that these maps descend to a 1-1 map i from X into $\text{Bi}O(G)$.

We claim that i is onto: Recall from the proof of Proposition 1.7 that any ordering on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ defines a 1-dimensional subspace H in $\mathbb{R}^2$, such that one component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus H$ is composed of positive elements and the other of negative elements. Notice that all orderings on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ inducing the line H are of the form $<_x$ and $<^x$, where x is one of the two unit vectors in H .

Finally, we claim that i is a continuous map. To verify that claim it is sufficient to check that $i^{-1}(U_{0a}) \subset X$ is open for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. This condition follows from the fact that the sets $\{x \in S_{\text{D}}^1 : 0 <_x a\}$ and $\{x \in S_{\text{Q}}^1 : 0 <^x a\}$ are open in S_{D}^1 and in S_{Q}^1 , respectively. $\square$

The above proposition has an unexpected application. Although it is known that any compact set is a continuous image of a map defined on the Cantor set (see [6, Thm 3-28]), usually it is difficult to visualize such a map. However, we can visualize a map from the Cantor set onto S^1 . Consider the map $f : X \rightarrow S^1$ given by identification of all corresponding points, $x \in S^1_{\emptyset}$ with $x \in S^1_{\emptyset}$, in $X = S^1_{\emptyset} \sqcup S^1_{\emptyset} / \sim$. Since the topology of $S^1_{\emptyset}$ and of $S^1_{\emptyset}$ is richer than the Euclidean topology of the circle, f is continuous. By Propositions 1.7 and 3.1, X is the Cantor set.

4. APPLICATIONS TO GRÖBNER BASES

Let $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field $\mathbb{K}$. Monomials in it, $x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$, form a monoid (a semi-group with an identity), isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ by the isomorphism which carries $x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ to $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$. From now on we will identify these two monoids.

A linear order on a set G is a well-order if any subset of G has a smallest element. For a semi-group G , we denote the set of all left well-orders of G by $LWO(G)$. The elements of $LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$ are called monomial orderings on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. As a consequence of Dickson's lemma, [3, Cor. 6 Ch.2 §4], an order $<$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ is a well-order if and only if 0 is the smallest element for $<$. Therefore

$$LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n) = LO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n) \setminus \bigcup U_{a_0},$$

where the sum is over all non-zero elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$. Since $\bigcup U_{a_0}$ is open, we get the following.

Corollary 4.1. *$LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$ is a closed subset of $LO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$. Hence, by Theorem 1.4, $LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$ is compact.*

Furthermore, by adopting the reasoning given in the proof of Proposition 1.7, one can show that both $LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$ and $LO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$ are homeomorphic to the Cantor set for $n > 1$.

Any polynomial $w \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ decomposes as $\sum_1^d c_i m_i$, where m_i 's are monomials, $m_i \neq m_j$, and c_i 's are scalars in $\mathbb{K}$, $c_i \neq 0$. For a given monomial ordering, the leading monomial of w , $LM(w)$, is the largest monomial among $m_1, \dots, m_d$. If $LM(w) = m_i$, then the leading term of w is $LT(w) = c_i m_i$. For a non-zero ideal $I \triangleleft \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we denote by $LM(I)$ the ideal in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by the leading monomials of polynomials in I . Finally, we say that a set of polynomials in $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\} \subset I$, is a *Gröbner basis* of I if the leading monomials of these polynomials generate $LM(I)$. Such a basis is very useful for practical computations with I and $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$, see [1, 2, 3]. Buchberger's algorithm provides a practical method of calculating a Gröbner bases, see eg. [3, Ch. 2 §7].

Proposition 4.2. *For any ideal $I \triangleleft \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and for any set of polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_s \in I$ the set of monomial orderings on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for which $f_1, \dots, f_s$ is a Gröbner basis of I is open.*

Proof. For any $f, g \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, let

$$S(f, g) = \frac{LCM(LM(f), LM(g))}{LT(f)} f - \frac{LCM(LM(f), LM(g))}{LT(g)} g,$$

where LCM denotes the least common multiple. By [3, Theorem 2.§6.6], a set $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ is a Gröbner basis for I if and only if $S(f_i, f_j)$ is divisible by $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ (with remainder = 0). (The division by multiple polynomials was defined in [3, Theorem 2.§3.3]). The division process is based on a finite number of comparisons $m \leq m'$ between monomials. Therefore, if $S(f_i, f_j)$ is divisible by $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ for a monomial ordering $\leq$, then it is also divisible by $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ for all orderings in some open neighborhood of $\leq$ in the space of monomial orderings. $\square$

As an application of Theorem 1.4 we can give now a very short proof of the existence of universal Gröbner bases (following the idea of the proof given in [12]).

Theorem 4.3. [12] *For any ideal $I \triangleleft \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ there exists a finite set of polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_s \in I$ which is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to any monomial ordering. Such set is called a universal Gröbner basis.*

Proof. For any $f_1, \dots, f_s \in I$ let $V_{\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}}$ be the set of monomial orderings for which $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I . By Proposition 4.2, $V_{\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}}$ is open. Since, by Corollary 4.1, the space of monomial orderings is compact, it has a finite cover by $V_{\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}}, \dots, V_{\{h_1, \dots, h_t\}}$. The set $\{f_1, \dots, f_s, \dots, h_1, \dots, h_t\}$ is the universal Gröbner basis of I . $\square$

The following example shows that Corollary 4.1 (which was an essential part of the above proof) does not hold for some monoids other than $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$.

Example: The monoid of monomials in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ is isomorphic to the infinite sum, $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty$. Each linear order, $<$, on the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ induces a lexicographic order on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$: Let $m = x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \dots$ and $n = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots$ be two arbitrary monomials in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$. Let x_i be the smallest variable (with respect to $<$) for which $m_i \neq n_i$. Then $m < n$ if and only if $m_i < n_i$. We denote such induced order on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ by $<_L$ (L stands for lexicographic). $<_L$ is a well-order if and only if $<$ is a well-order. Consider now a sequence of well-orders $<^1, <^2, \dots$ such that

$$x_n <^n x_{n-1} <^n \dots <^n x_2 <^n x_1 <^n x_{n+1} <^n x_{n+2} <^n x_{n+3} <^n \dots$$

Consider a filtration $\{G_*\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty$ such that G_n contains all monomials in variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of total degree at most n . It is easy to check that the sequence of lexicographic orders $<_L^1, <_L^2, \dots$ converges with respect to the metric induced by the filtration $\{G_*\}$ to a new lexicographic order $<_L^\infty$ induced by

$$\dots <^\infty x_n <^\infty x_{n-1} <^\infty \dots <^\infty x_2 <^\infty x_1.$$

Hence $<_L^\infty$ is not a well-order on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$, and therefore, $LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty)$ is not a closed subset of $LO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty)$.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank R. Bryant for suggesting a simplification of the proof of Proposition 2.3(2) and the referee of this paper for many insightful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Adams, P. Loustau, An Introduction to Gröbner bases, Graduate Studies in mathematics **3**, AMS, 1994.
- [2] T. Becker, V. Weispfenning, Gröbner Bases, A Computational Approach to Algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag 1993.
- [3] D. Cox, J. Little, D. O'Shea, Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms, An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra, 2nd edition, Springer 1997.
- [4] L. Fuchs, Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems, Pergamon Press 1963.
- [5] M. Hall Jr., The theory of groups, Macmillan Co. 1959.
- [6] J. G. Hocking, G. S. Young, Topology, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Inc., 1961.
- [7] A. I. Kokorin, V. M. Kopytov, Fully ordered groups, Halsted Press (John Wiley & Sons), New York-Toronto, Ont.; Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem-London, 1974.
- [8] R. B. Mura, A. Rhemtulla, Orderable groups, Lectures in pure and applied mathematics, Vol. 27, Marcel Dekker Inc., 1977.
- [9] B. H. Neumann, On ordered groups, *Amer. Journ. Math.* **71**(1949) 202–252.
- [10] L. Robbiano, Term orderings on the polynomial ring. In: B. F. Caviness ed., Eurocal'85, European Conference on Computer Algebra, Vol. II, Springer LNCS **204**, 513–517.
- [11] D. J. S. Robinson, A course in the theory of groups, Second Ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer 1996.
- [12] N. Schwartz, Stability of Gröbner bases, *J. of Pure and Applied Alg.* **53**(1988), 171–186.
- [13] E.P. Šimbirova, On the theory of partially ordered groups. (In Russian), *Mat. Sbornik* **20**(1947), 145–178.
- [14] H.-H. Teh, Construction of orders in abelian groups, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **57**(1961), 467–482.
- [15] A. A. Vinogradov, On the free product of ordered groups, *Mat. Sbornik* **67** (N.S. 25) 1949, 163–168.

Dept. of Mathematics, 244 Math Bldg, SUNY Buffalo, NY 14260
asikora@buffalo.edu